Microhardness and flexural strength after chemical aging of chlorhexidine delivery systems based on acrylic resin
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Abstract

Objectives: To evaluate the effect of chlorhexidine incorporation on the microhardness and flexural strength of three reline acrylic resins, after a 28-day chemical aging process.

Methods: Forty-eight specimens were fabricated according to the several possible combinations of acrylic reline resin and concentrations of incorporated chlorhexidine (Kooliner – 0% vs. 2.5%; Ufi Gel Hard – 0% vs. 5%; and Probase Cold – 0% vs. 5%), to set 6 experimental groups (n=8). After a 4-week chemical aging process (pH fluctuation in artificial saliva, with cycles of 6 hours at pH=3 and 18 hours at pH=7), the Knoop microhardness (98.12 mN, 30 seconds) and flexural strength (1 kN, 5 mm/min) of all specimens were evaluated. Data were submitted to non-parametric statistical tests, according to Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney (∝=0.05).

Results: Chlorhexidine incorporation did not significantly affect the microhardness of the three tested resins (Kooliner – ∝=0.798; Ufi Gel Hard – ∝=0.798; and Probase Cold – ∝=0.195). Although chlorhexidine incorporation did not influence the flexural strength of Kooliner (∝=0.995) and Ufi Gel Hard (∝=0.645), the incorporation of 5% chlorhexidine in Probase Cold led to a statistically lower flexural strength than that obtained without incorporation (∝=0.021).

Conclusion: After chemical aging, the microhardness of chlorhexidine-incorporated acrylic resins is not affected. However, the incorporation of 5% chlorhexidine into Probase Cold negatively affects its flexural strength. (Rev Port Estomatol Med Dent Cir Maxilofac. 2019;60(3):104-110)
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Introduction

Tooth loss is associated with a decreased integrity of the masticatory system, with negative consequences in the functional and esthetic aspects, in speech and in self-esteem.1-2 Rehabilitation with removable dentures continues to be one of the most sought options.3 However, the progressive resorption of the alveolar ridge may lead to a decreased adaptation of the denture base to the underlying tissues. The most common procedure to solve this problem is relining, which consists in placing a material, usually a reline acrylic resin, onto the base of the denture to fill the gap between the contour of the denture and the new contour of the tissues.4-5

Acrylic resins used in dentures bases are polymeric biomaterials with proper mechanical properties, but their porous structure promotes microbial colonization and the development of oral diseases, such as denture stomatitis.9-13 Despite the multifactorial etiology of this disease, it appears to be related to a quantitative increase of Candida species,14-17 a commensal fungal organism that can act as an opportunistic pathogen.18-19 Treatment is complex and includes reducing the denture wearing time, denture relining and topical antifungal therapy.15,20-23 Medical devices aimed to combine these two last-mentioned options have been proposed, such as reline acrylic resins, which act as reservoirs of antimicrobial agents, like chlorhexidine (CHX), thus allowing a continuous release of drugs at the site of infection.14,24-25

CHX is an agent with antimicrobial properties against a large number of microorganisms, including Candida species.26-28 When incorporated into resins, it has a high release in the first 2 to 7 days, which then decreases and remains constant for, at least, 28 days.17,28-30

The concentration at which CHX offers antifungal activity but does not influence the properties of several reline resins has been established in some studies.31-37 However, in those studies, chemical aging was not taken into account. Food and drinks can affect dental materials and intraoral pH values.38-39

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of loading three reline acrylic resins with CHX on their microhardness and flexural strength, after a 28-day chemical aging process. The following hypotheses were studied: 1) there are no differences in microhardness between reline acrylic resins; 2) the reline acrylic resin does not influence flexural strength; 3) the incorporation of CHX does not influence the reline acrylic resin microhardness; and 4) the incorporation of CHX does not affect the flexural strength of the reline acrylic resin.
Materials and methods

Three auto-polymerizing reline acrylic resins, presented in powder-liquid formulations, were selected. Two were direct reline resins: Kooliner (GC America Inc., Alsip, IL., USA), a non-crosslinking material, and Ufi Gel Hard (Voco GmbH., Cuxhaven, Germany), a crosslinking material; these are both composed of pre-polymerized poly(ethyl methacrylate) powder particles and also the monomers isobutyl methacrylate and 1,6-hexanediol dimethacrylate, respectively. The other one was an indirect reline resin: Probase Cold (Ivoclar Vivadent AG., Liechtenstein), which is composed of poly(methyl methacrylate) and methyl methacrylate (Table 1). A chlorhexidine diacetate monohydrate (Panreac Applichem, Darmstadt, Germany) was also selected.

The powder of the reline acrylic resin and CHX were weighed using a precision balance (A&D Company, Limited, Tokyo, Japan), and the liquid was measured using a graduated pipette.

Two groups were established for each material: a control group, with a 0% concentration of CHX; and an experimental group, where a certain concentration of CHX was incorporated (Figure 1). Based on previous studies,31-37 a 2.5% CHX concentration was incorporated in the Kooliner experimental group and a 5% CHX concentration in both the Ufi Gel Hard and the Probase Cold experimental groups.

In each experimental group, CHX was incorporated and mixed with the powder of the corresponding reline acrylic resin, using a mortar and a pestle for homogenization. After mixing with the liquid monomer, eight specimens of each group (n=8) were prepared using rectangular-shaped stainless molds (64×10×3.3 mm).

During the recommended polymerization time of the direct reline resins, specimens were maintained under compression between two glass slabs, in an oven (Ehret, Mahlberg, Germany), at 37±2ºC. Polymerization of the indirect reline resin was carried out in a pressure device (Ivomat, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein) at the recommended time, temperature, and pressure (Table 1).

After polymerization, the specimens were removed from the mold and polished with a 600-grit silicon carbide paper (Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, IL., USA) with constant refrigeration. Each specimen was weighed (A&D Company, Limited, Tokyo, Japan) and immersed in artificial saliva,35,40 with a ratio of 1 g/5 mL in individual graduated falcon tubes. The specimens were stored at 37ºC (Memmert, Schwabach, Germany) with constant gentle shaking (300 rpm) for 28 days. In order to simulate oral conditions, the samples were subjected to a protocol of chemical aging, based on cycles of 6 hours in artificial saliva at pH=3 interchanging with 18 hours in artificial saliva at pH=7. Between each change, the samples were washed with distilled water and dried with absorbent paper.

The Knoop microhardness test was performed (Duramin, Stuers DK 2750, Ballerup, Denmark) with a 98.12 mN load for 30 seconds. Twelve equidistant measurements were made in each specimen, and the mean value was used as the specimen’s microhardness (KHN).

A three-point flexural test was performed with a universal testing machine (Instron, Model 4502, Bucks, England), with a

| Table 1. Materials used, composition and manufacturers’ instructions |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Material        | Composition                                              | P/LI Ratio (g/ml) | Curing Cycle     | Manufacturer                | Batch Number (Expiration Date) |
| Kooliner (K)    | P: PEMA, dibenzoyl peroxide, silicon dioxide, titanium dioxide and cellulose acetate; LI: IBMA and accelerant. | 1.4/1            | 10 minutes, at 37ºC | GC America Inc., Alsip, IL., USA | P: 1707271 (27/07/2020) Li: 1608021 (08/2019) |
| Ufi Gel Hard (UG)| P: PEMA and benzoyl peroxide; LI: 1,6-HDMA and hydroxyethyl methacrylate. | 1.77/1           | 7 minutes, at 37ºC | Voco GmbH., Cuxhaven, Germany | P: 1816582 (09/2020) Li: 1804406 (02/2020) |
| Probase Cold (PC)| P: PMMA, softening agent, benzoyl peroxide, catalyst and pigments; LI: MMA, BDMA and catalyst. | 1.5/1            | 15 minutes, at 40ºC, 4 bar | Ivoclar Vivadent AG., Liechtenstein | P: WT0487 (21/08/2021) Li: W85050 (01/10/2021) |
| Chlorhexidine (CHX) | Chlorhexidine diacetate monohydrate. | –                | -                | Panreac Applichem, Darmstadt, Germany | 8F015944 (10/2023) |

P – Powder; LI – Liquid; PEMA – Poly(ethyl methacrylate); IBMA – Isobutyl methacrylate; 1,6-HDMA – 1,6-hexanediol dimethacrylate; PMMA – Poly(methyl methacrylate); MMA – Methyl methacrylate; BDMA – 1,4-butanediol dimethacrylate
1 kN load cell at a crosshead speed of 5 mm/min and a distance of 50 mm between supports. The width and thickness of each specimen were confirmed using a digital micrometer with a ±0.01 mm precision (Mitutoyo Digimatic, MFG. Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Data were statistically analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Macintosh, version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). Since normality and homogeneity of variance were not verified (Shapiro-Wilk and Levene tests, $p<0.05$), data were submitted to Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney non-parametric tests ($\alpha=0.05$).

### Results

The microhardness mean value ranged between 6.9 KHN, in the Kooliner incorporated with 2.5% CHX, and 13.6 KHN, in the Probase Cold without CHX incorporation (Table 2). The flexural strength ranged between 37.5 MPa, in the Ufi Gel Hard without CHX incorporation, and 82.1 MPa, in the Probase Cold without CHX incorporation.

Probase Cold showed statistically significantly ($p<0.001$) higher microhardness and flexural strength than the other two reline acrylic resins. No significant differences were found between Kooliner’s and Ufi Gel Hard’s microhardness ($p=1.000$) or flexural strength ($p=0.542$).

Microhardness was not statistically affected by CHX incorporation in any of the three reline acrylic resins (Kooliner – $p=0.798$; Ufi Gel Hard – $p=0.798$; and Probase Cold – $p=0.195$) (Figure 2).

The incorporation of CHX did not influence the flexural strength of Kooliner ($p=0.959$) or Ufi Gel Hard ($p=0.645$). However, incorporating 5% CHX into Probase Cold led to a statistically significant ($p=0.021$) decrease in flexural strength (Figure 3).

### Discussion

Loading reline acrylic resins with CHX did not influence microhardness in any of the three materials studied after a chemical aging process. However, although flexural strength was not affected in Kooliner or Ufi Gel Hard, the incorporation of CHX decreased the flexural strength of Probase Cold.

The reline acrylic resins evaluated in this study were selected due to their chemical and structural differences. 41-42
Kooliner and Ufi Gel Hard are both direct reliner acrylic resins composed of poly(ethyl methacrylate) particles; however, Kooliner is also composed of isobutyl methacrylate, forming a non-crosslinking net, whereas Ufi Gel Hard is composed of 1,6-hexanediol dimethacrylate and forms a crosslinking net, thus being more complex than Kooliner. In turn, Probase Cold is an indirect reliner resin composed of poly(methyl methacrylate) and methyl methacrylate that presents a reduced amount of residual monomer after its polymerization.

The CHX concentration used to load each reliner acrylic resin was based on the findings of previous studies. A 2.5% CHX concentration in Kooliner and a 5% CHX concentration in Ufi Gel Hard and Probase Cold seem to reduce the microbiological activity of Candida albicans without affecting the mechanical properties of the reliner resins. Incorporating higher percentages of CHX could negatively influence the mechanical properties of the studied materials.

In order to simulate oral conditions, all specimens were aged immersed in artificial saliva in interchanging cycles of 6 hours at pH=3 and 18 hours at pH=7. Since the reliner acrylic resin may be considered a provisional material, and considering the time during which CHX release levels remain constant for an effective treatment of denture stomatitis, a 28-day aging period was selected to allow comparison with previous research studies.

Given that Probase Cold presented higher microhardness and flexural strength than the other reliner acrylic resins studied, the first and second null hypotheses are rejected. These results are in accordance with previous studies with different aging conditions. The higher degree of conversion of Probase Cold could justify these results.

The third hypothesis is not rejected since, after a chemical aging process, the microhardness of the three reliner acrylic resins was not affected by the CHX incorporation. These results agree with a previous study that included a thermal, and mechanical aging, should be tested, in order to better reproduce the oral environment.

Conclusions

The three materials tested in the present study are reliner acrylic resins that are not used independently in the oral cavity but always associated with a base denture material. Further research should be conducted to study the flexural and adhesive strength of these materials together. Likewise, other physical and mechanical properties of reliner acrylic resins loaded with CHX, under a combination of thermal, chemical and mechanical aging, should be tested, in order to better reproduce the oral environment.
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