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In vitro evaluation of the effect of soft drinks on dental erosion

Nádia Lopes, Maria de Lurdes Pereira, Helena Salgado*, Américo Afonso, Pedro Mesquita
Faculdade de Medicina Dentária (FMDUP), Porto, Portugal

A B S T R A C T

Objective: The relationship between the exposure to Coca-Cola®, Fanta® Orange and Lipton® Ice Tea® Lemon and dental erosion over time was assessed, as well as the possible existence of differences in their erosive potential.

Methods: Ninety-six samples were randomly assigned in equal numbers to four groups: Coca-Cola®, Fanta® Orange, Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon and a control group. Cycles of demineralization/remineralization were performed under stirring for 5 minutes, 3 times daily, for 30 days. Cuts of approximately 100-150 µm in thickness were made in the display window with a hard tissue microtome and the samples were analyzed under a light microscope. The depth of demineralization was measured. Data were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis and U-Mann-Whitney tests. A significance level of 0.05 was used.

Results: All groups, except for the control, promoted wear on tooth surfaces. Coca-Cola®, over time, caused the greatest loss of tooth structure. The differences between the three drinks at day 30 were not statistically significant (p>0.05).

Conclusion: The consumption of the three drinks, over time, caused loss of tooth structure, incrementally. There were no differences in the erosive potential of the soft drinks studied after a 30-day exposure period. (Rev Port Estomatol Med Dent Cir Maxilofac. 2017;58(3):139-145) © 2017 Sociedade Portuguesa de Estomatologia e Medicina Dentária. Published by SPEMD. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Avaliação in vitro do efeito de refrigerantes na erosão dentária

**Palavras-chave:** Refrigerantes, Esmalte dentário, Desmineralização dentária, Erosão dentária

**Objetivo:** Avaliação da relação da exposição à Coca-Cola®, Fanta® Laranja e Lipton® Ice Tea® Limão ao longo do tempo e a erosão dentária bem como a possível existência de diferenças no potencial erosivo entre as bebidas.

**Métodos:** Noventa e seis amostras foram aleatoriamente distribuídas, em igual número, por 4 grupos: Coca-Cola®, Fanta® Laranja, Lipton® Ice Tea Limão e grupo controlo. Ciclos de desmineralização/remineralização foram realizados, durante 5 minutos, 3 vezes ao dia, durante 30 dias. Realizaram-se cortes, na janela de exposição, de aproximadamente 100-150 µm de espessura com um micrótomo de tecidos duros. As amostras foram analisadas ao microscópio de luz polarizada, e realizou-se a medição da profundidade de desmineralização. Os dados foram analisados pelos testes Kruskal-Wallis e U-Mann-Whitney. O valor da significância estatística utilizada foi 0,05.

**Resultados:** Todos os grupos, exceto o grupo controlo, promoveram erosão dentária. A Coca-Cola®, ao longo do tempo, promoveu a maior perda de estrutura dentária. As diferenças entre as três bebidas, aos 30 dias, não se revelaram significativas (p>0,05).

**Conclusão:** O consumo destes três refrigerantes, ao longo do tempo, promoveu perda de estrutura dentária de forma crescente. Não se verificaram diferenças no potencial erosivo dos refrigerantes estudados aos 30 dias de exposição. (Rev Port Estomatol Med Dent Cir Maxilofac. 2017;58(3):139-145)

---

**Introduction**

In the past years, there has been a great increase in the incidence of dental erosion. This phenomenon has become of great interest for clinicians and researchers, especially regarding its causal factors.1-4

The dental erosion is a slow and chronic pathological process, in which there is an irreversible loss of dental hard tissue as a result of a chemical attack without involving bacteria; it always involves acids. Being a continuous process over time, it often leads to extensive exposure of the dentin, resulting in teeth with unsightly appearance, hypersensitivity and a consequent reduction of the masticatory function.1,3,5-13

The causes of dental erosion may be intrinsic, as in the case of pathologies that cause gastric juice regurgitation, or extrinsic, when they are associated with the diet (acidic beverages and fruit), the environment (chemicals, chlorine from pools) or drugs (vitamin C, aspirin). Despite the variety of causal factors, it has been found that the acids present in the diet and the intrinsic stomach acids are the most important factors in this process.1,3,5-13

Erosion is clinically relevant when an unfavorable variety of factors influences the tooth surface.15 The erosion is a cumulative multifactorial condition. The interplay of chemical, biological and behavioral factors is fundamental to the understanding of its etiology.16-18

The biological factors involved in this process include saliva, acquired pellicle, tooth structure, and teeth position in relation to soft tissues and the tongue. The behavioral factors associated with dental erosion, like eating and drinking habits, regular exercise with dehydration and decrease of salivary flow, excessive oral hygiene and, moreover, an unhealthy lifestyle such as chronic alcoholism, are predisposing factors for this process.16

The erosive potential of different agents, such as soft drinks, depends on a variety of chemical factors, e.g. low pH, titratable acidity, mineral content, composition of the drink (acid), clearance on tooth surface and its calcium-chelation properties.6,8,9,11,16,17,19-21

There is some evidence that the dental erosion is strongly associated with the frequency and amount of soft drinks intake. Moreover, its consumption has increased, causing worldwide concern because of the risk of dental erosion.1,4,6,14,16,19,20

Those beverages are constituted by various types of acids that contribute to their low pH.6,22 Another factor that can influence the potential erosion caused by soft drinks is the saliva since, after ingestion, a residual amount of liquid remains in the oral cavity contacting with the teeth. Various salivary protective mechanisms come into play during an erosive challenge, such as dilution, clearance, neutralization, buffering the acid, and slowing down the rate of enamel dissolution through the common ion effect caused by salivary calcium and phosphate.3,16

The aim of this study was to measure the relationship between the exposure to some soft drinks, including Coca-Cola®, Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon and Fanta® Orange, and dental erosion. The hypothesis considered was that the drinks studied cannot promote dental erosion.
Material and Methods

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Dental Medicine of the University of Porto.

Forty-eight intact human teeth (premolars and molars), extracted for orthodontic and periodontal reasons, were cleaned of residual debris, disinfected and stored in distilled water. All the teeth were analyzed under the microscope. Teeth presenting deformities (fluorosis, enamel hypoplasia, tooth decay) were excluded from the study. All the teeth were fixed with resin (Epofix®, Struers, Denmark) and sectioned mesiodistally with a hard tissue microtome (Acuttom®, Struers, Denmark), resulting in ninety-six samples. Each sample was covered with an acid-resistant varnish (Risqué® nail polish color cappuccino Niasi Arabic, Brazil), except for a 4-mm-diameter window.

The pH of the beverages used for the immersion cycles was measured with a digital pH meter (pH GLP MultiCal 540®, WTW, Sigma Aldrich, Portugal). The electrode was previously calibrated at the start of each session using standard solutions. The pH was measured at three different consecutive times: immediately after opening, 5 minutes after and 30 minutes after.

Four experimental groups were analyzed: Coca-Cola®, Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon, Fanta® Orange and a control group (preserved in a sodium chloride physiological solution). The samples were randomly assigned in equal numbers (n=8) to the groups.

All the experimental groups were subjected to cycles of demineralization/remineralization. The samples were immersed for 5 minutes in 75 ml of the beverage selected, under mild stirring by a magnetic stirrer (Jenway 1000®, Keison, UK). This procedure was repeated for each sample, three times a day with a four-hour interval between the immersion cycles. After each immersion cycle, the samples were washed with distilled water, dried with gauze and maintained in an incubator in physiological sodium chloride at 37°C (HERAEUS ELECTRONIC®, Gaprufte Sicherheit, German) until the following immersion cycle. The control samples were immersed in a sodium chloride physiological solution (pH 6.5) at 37°C with daily change of the solution.

The cycling regimen was repeated for a total of 30 days. After seven days, eight samples from each experimental group were removed randomly, washed in distilled water and stored in a moist environment until analysis. This process was repeated on days 15 and 30.

The samples were sectioned through the middle of the exposure window, using a hard tissue microtome (Acuttom®, Struers, Denmark). They were then polished with a manual polisher (Struers®, Denmark), thus resulting in sections of approximately 100-150µm thickness. The sections were immersed in distilled water and analyzed using a polarizing light microscope (Leica® DMLB, Wetzlar, Germany), at a 50-times magnification.

The produced demineralization lesions were analyzed by a single examiner. Based on a line formed by the crossed wires in the scale, connecting the remaining unaffected surface enamel adjacent to the lesion, three depths of demineralization were recorded in each section, with the help of a Leica Microsystems (Schweiz®, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) AG software (Leica® System Application Suit – LAS Core version 3.5.0, Wetzlar, Germany). The demineralization results were tabulated.

All statistical procedures were performed using statistical software (IBM® program computer SPSS 19). The quantitative results were presented as mean ± standard deviation. The non-parametric tests Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney-U with Bonferroni correction were used for comparing groups. A significance level of 0.05 was used.

Results

The pH values of the three drinks, represented as means and standard deviations, are shown in Table 1. The composition of each soda product, according to manufacturers, is shown in Table 2.

The results obtained from the demineralization/remineralization cycles are shown in Figure 1.

Regarding noting wear promoted by each drink over time, Coca-Cola® showed the highest percentage of erosion on day 7 (61.4%), and this value lowered to 31.5% on day 15, and to 7.07% on day 30. These differences are statistically significant (p<0.05). Regarding the different experimental times for Coca-Cola® (Figure 2), there were no statistically significant differences (p>0.05) between day 15 and day 30.

The Fanta® Orange group showed the same trend, i.e., a higher percentage of demineralization on day 7 (59.7%) than day 15 (30.6%).

| Table 1. Mean pH values (± standard deviation) for each beverage, over time. |
|---|---|---|
| Time (minutes) | Coca-Cola® | Fanta® Orange | Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon |
| 0 | 2.50 ± 0.007 | 3.17 ± 0.009 | 3.29 ± 0.020 |
| 5 | 2.50 ± 0.007 | 3.15 ± 0.028 | 3.29 ± 0.014 |
| 30 | 2.58 ± 0.008 | 3.17 ± 0.009 | 3.29 ± 0.013 |

| Table 2. The selected beverages and ingredients as listed on the beverage bottles. |
|---|---|
| Coca-Cola® | Water, sugar, carbon dioxide, caramel color (E-150d), E338 acidifier (phosphoric acid), natural flavors, caffeine. |
| Fanta® Orange | Water, sugar and/or glucose-fructose syrup, 8% of orange juice, carbon dioxide, acidifier citric acid, flavoring, potassium sorbate preservative, E-414, E-412 and E-445 stabilizers, antioxidants: ascorbic acid and beta carotene dye. |
| Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon (Unilever Jerónimo Martins, Lda, Lisbon, Portugal) | Tea, water and black tea extract (4.7%), sugar, acidity regulators: citric acid and sodium citrate, lemon juice (0.1%), antioxidants: ascorbic acid. |
Figure 1. Comparison of the mean and standard deviation of the demineralization depth observed in 4 experimental groups along the time of study.

Figure 2. Erosion lesions caused by Coca-Cola® on day 7 (A), day 15 (B) and day 30 (C). Optical microscopy (x50).

Figure 3. Lesion of demineralization promoted by Fanta® Orange on day 15. Optical microscopy (A) (x50). Polarized light microscopy (B) (x50).
on day 15 (27.5%) and day 30 (12.7%), with these differences being statistically significant ($p<0.05$) (Figure 3). Regarding the three experimental periods for Fanta® Orange, there were no statistically significant differences between day 7 and day 15 and between day 15 and day 30 ($p>0.05$).

For the Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon group, the highest wear percentage occurred on day 15 (51.36%), followed by day 7 (37.34%) and day 30 (11.3%), and these differences were statistically significant ($p<0.05$). Regarding the experimental times, there were no statistically significant differences ($p>0.05$) between day 15 and day 30 (Figure 4).

The comparison of the wear caused by the three drinks on day 7 showed statistically significant differences ($p<0.05$). By assessing the wear promoted by drinks on day 7, we found statistically significant differences between the Coca-Cola® group and the Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon group.

On day 15, Coca-Cola® promoted the greatest wear, followed by Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon and Fanta® Orange. However, the differences observed at this time were not statistically significant ($p>0.05$).

When assessing the demineralization depth on day 30, it was observed that the Coca-Cola® group achieved the highest average wear of all sodas. However, these wear differences were not statistically significant ($p>0.05$).

Discussion

The results of the present study suggest that Coca-Cola®, Fanta® Orange and Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon have an increasing erosive potential over time.

By analyzing the obtained pH values over time, we found that Coca-Cola® was the drink with the lowest value. Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon was the beverage with the highest pH value.

In the group with the lowest pH (Coca-Cola®), there was a greater loss of the dental structure. However, the Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon group had a higher loss of tooth substance than the Fanta® Orange one, which had a greater pH (Figure 1). It is not possible to establish a direct association between the beverage pH and the erosive potential. Similar results were obtained by other authors.6,10,15,25-28

Other inherent beverage factors such as acidity, mineral content, adherence to the tooth surface and chelation of calcium property may help to explain the wear differences promoted by the three drinks.1,10,11,15,25,26

The presence of different kinds of acids may also help to explain the differences in the erosive potential established between the three beverages. Coca-Cola® has phosphoric acid in its composition while Fanta® Orange and Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon have citric acid, according to information obtained from the manufacturers.19

The literature is contradictory regarding the erosive potential of beverages containing citric acid or phosphoric acid. One study showed that the beverages containing phosphoric acid are more erosive than those containing citric acid while others showed the opposite.19,20

The critical pH, beyond which the hydroxyapatite dissolves, is 5.5 and all soft drinks used in this study had pH values well below this value. The intraoral pH which is generally 6.8, decreases to less than 5.2 just 3 minutes after the consumption of the soft drink.21,25,26

After drink ingestion, an increase in salivary flow occurs. The bicarbonate levels in saliva are positively correlated to the salivary flow rate, which means that a higher saliva flow leads to a higher pH and higher buffering capacity. Despite this increase, it takes about 25-30 minutes for the pH to return to intraoral physiological values. The greater the ability to titrate the beverage, the greater the time necessary for the saliva to neutralize the acid.1,6,14,21,23,25-27

In a similar study,15 the authors evaluated the mineral loss caused by soft drinks, using microanalysis performed by an electronic probe at various depths as an evaluation method. In that study, enamel slabs were immersed for 6 hours in many beverages, namely, Coca-Cola® (pH 2.3) and Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon (pH 2.9). As in the present study, those authors found...
that the mineral loss promoted by Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon on the enamel occurred on a smaller scale compared to Coca-Cola®.15

Another study10 assessed the demineralization depth caused by some acidic drinks, including Coca-Cola® (pH 2.65), on the enamel surface. In that study, the teeth were immersed in the drinks for 25 consecutive hours. Using the same methodology as in the present study, the enamel immersed in Coca-Cola® showed an average depth loss of 92+/ -62 micrometers.10 This loss was greater than that found in this study. However, the study by Ehlen et al. exposed the teeth to the drinks without taking into account the rate of consumption of the drink, the period of time the beverage is in the mouth prior to swallowing, or the saliva’s potential of remineralization; thus, these conditions may have exacerbated the erosive potential of the beverages, including Coca-Cola®.10

In another study,7 the authors analyzed the variations of enamel’s microhardness in primary teeth promoted by two drinks, one of which was Coca-Cola® (pH 2.35), on days 7, 15, 30, 45 and 60. In that evaluation, further analysis was performed on the enamel surface using scanning electron microscopy. That study revealed a decrease in microhardness related to time, as a result of mineral loss caused by the ingestion of acidic beverages. The Coca-Cola® produced statically significant gradual changes associated with variations observed in the surface microhardness at all times, except on day 15 and day 30, when the results were similar. The electronic microscope scanning revealed a progressive destruction of the enamel structure over time. On day 7, a pronounced demineralization was observed over the entire surface, particularly on the enamel prism cross-striations. On the remaining days, there was a gradual loss up to day 30.1 Those results are similar to the ones obtained in this study. However, one must notice that the analyzing methods and the examined teeth were different.

In another in vitro study,26 the authors evaluated the erosive potential of different soft drinks in Taiwan, using a novel multiple-erosive method. The results revealed that the pH values of the soft drinks were below the critical pH value (5.5) for enamel demineralization and that exposure to all the soft drinks resulted in the loss of human enamel surface (7.28 and 34.07 mm for a 180-minute exposure).

The present study cannot be fully extrapolated to dental tissues under in vivo conditions since it is not possible to replicate the individual factors influencing the environment of the oral cavity, such as dental anatomy, the composition of dental hard tissues, salivary conditions and real buffer capacity, frequency and duration of consumption of drinks, and other diet habits that can promote dental erosion.

Conclusions

Based on our results, we conclude that the consumption of Coca-Cola®, Fanta® Orange and Lipton® Ice Tea Lemon, over time, caused loss of tooth structure incrementally and that the differences in the soft drinks’ erosive potential for the 30-day exposure time were not statistically significant.


