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A B S T R A C T

Objective: Fibrous hyperplasia is frequently treated by surgical incision with a scalpel associated with removal of chronic trauma. However, hemostasis of the surgical wound is specially difficult for patients with hemorrhagic disorders or those undergoing antithrombotic therapy without the suturing of the wound borders. High-power LASER have been applied as a useful tool in the management of soft tissue lesions. Therefore, the present study aimed to present a prospective case series of fibrous hyperplasia treated using a high-power diode LASER.

Methods: Fifteen patients with fibrous hyperplasia were enrolled in this study. LASER irradiation was performed using an 808 nm diode LASER with an optical fiber of 600 µm, at a power of 2.0W to 3.5W (average 2.96W), in a continuous-wave mode. The treatment performance of fibrous hyperplasia using a high-power diode LASER was determined by evaluating the pain, postoperative functional alterations, edema, secondary infection and bleeding.

Results: Diode LASER surgery proved to be effective and presented a good performance in the treatment of fibrous hyperplasia.

Conclusions: Randomized clinical trials may be performed to compare diode LASER and other LASER systems with conventional surgery and electrosurgery in the management of fibrous hyperplasia and other oral lesions.

© 2017 Sociedade Portuguesa de Estomatologia e Medicina Dentária. Published by SPEMD. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Introduction

Hyperplasia is an increase in the number of cells in any portion of human tissues, including the oral cavity tissues. Fibrous hyperplasia (FH) is caused by a low-intensity chronic trauma, often provoked by ill-fitting dentures or by parafunctional habits, and is represented by an increase in fibroblast cells and collagen fibers. FH is a frequent oral mucosal disease that affects 5% to 16.7% of the population.

FH first appears as a limited-size growth, with a fibrous to flaccid consistency, and an erythematous to pale color lesion that may be ulcerated. FH presents a slow growth that ceases with the removal of the traumatic agent. In the majority of cases, as FH is painless, the patient may not realize its existence. However, the patient may complain of pain if the area is ulcerated or has an associated infection caused by a fungus, such as Candida ssp. Moreover, the flange of the complete or partial denture often fits conveniently into the folds of the lesion.

FH is frequently treated by surgical incision with a scalpel associated with removal of chronic trauma. Scalpel techniques are associated with a loss of sulcus depth and/or with the full elimination of the vestibule in cases induced by ill-fitting dentures. Also, hemostasis of the surgical wound is specially difficult for patients with hemorrhagic disorders or those undergoing antithrombotic therapy without the suturing of the wound borders.

Electrobisturi has been applied in the management of oral tissues and provides enhanced hemostasis by sealing blood vessels before cutting. However, cutting performance is harmed by muscle fascication, and wound healing is delayed by extensive thermal damage when compared to scalpel surgery.

High-power LASER have been applied as a useful tool in the management of soft tissue lesions. Surgical LASER have been used to treat oral lesions, including: 1) Potassium Titanyl Phosphate (KTP), 2) neodymium-yttrium-aluminium-garnet (Nd:YAG), 3) carbon dioxide (CO2), and 4) diode LASER with semiconductors. High-power diode LASER, as compared to other high-power LASER, are more portable, compact, and cost effective. Diode LASER have wavelengths of between 805 and 980nm that can be used in continuous or pulsed mode, according to the clinical recommendation, using an optical fiber with or without contact.

High-power diode LASER can be applied in the management of oral tissues due to high absorption by water and hemoglobin, thus providing positive results in periodontal surgery, tissue alteration related to orthodontic treatments, and oral lesions. Considering that diode LASER surgery may well produce a solid performance in the treatment of oral diseases, including FH, and that prior literature is based on case reports, the current study aimed to present a prospective case series of FH managed using a high-power diode LASER considering the evaluating of the pain, postoperative functional alterations, edema, secondary infection and bleeding. The objective of this study is to verify if diode LASER surgery is effective to remove FH safely based on clinical parameters.

Materials and methods

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, under protocol number 23083713.1.0000.5149, and informed written consent forms were
obtained from all participants. Fifteen patients with FH were recruited from the Oral Medicine Clinic of the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, Brazil from a period of nine months. The selection of cases included patients who presented FH induced either by dentures or by parafunctional habits. Patients with limited-size growths, with flatcided to a fibrous consistency, that were sessil or pedicile, with an erythematous to pale color, and that were associated with dentures or parafunctional habits were enrolled in this study. Dentures were removed 2 weeks before the surgical procedures to eliminate inflammation and/or chronic pain. Patients currently using anti-inflammatory or analgesic medications were excluded.

Topical anesthesia (Emil® AstraZeneca do Brasil LTDA, São Paulo, Brazil or Benzocaine 20%, DFL Indústria e Comércio S. A., Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) was applied to all patients. Infiltrative anesthesia with 2% lidocaine and adrenaline at 1:100,000 (DFL Indústria e Comércio S. A., Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) was applied if the patient complained of any kind of pain. Slight traction of the lesion using mosquito forceps was performed to facilitate the application of the diode LASER incision. Sutures were not performed. The surgical specimens were fixed in 10% buffer formalin and sent for histopathological analysis.

LASER irradiation was performed using an 808nm diode LASER (Thera Lase Surgery, DMC LTDA, São Carlos, Brazil), with an optical fiber of 600 µm, at a power of 2.0W to 3.5W (average 2.96W), in a continuous-wave mode.

The treatment performance of FH with high-power diode LASER was determined by evaluating pain, postoperative functional alterations, edema, secondary infection and bleeding. The patients were asked to separately rate the degree of pain and postoperative functional alterations, which included discomfort during eating and speech, on a 10cm horizontal visual analog scale (VAS) by placing a vertical mark to assess the position between the two endpoints.15 The left endpoint of the pain scale was designated as “no pain,” and the right endpoint was marked as unbearable pain. The end-points of the scales for the degree of discomfort during eating and speech were marked as no discomfort on the left side and unbearable discomfort on the right side. The patients were asked to mark the position between the two endpoints that best described their personal perception of the degree of pain and discomfort during eating and speech that they had experienced on postoperative days 1 and 7. The hatch mark placed by the patient was measured to the nearest centimeter; the scores for the degree of pain and functional complications were between 0 and 10. A single operator recorded these scores on postoperative days 1 and 7. After completion, all recordings were analyzed. All patients were instructed to use the same analgesic medication for 20 days. Ten (66.67%) patients presented a clinical diagnosis of FH induced by denture (FHID), while five (33.33%) presented a diagnosis of focal FH (FFH). The size of the lesions ranged from 5 to 90 mm (Mean: 33 mm). Six (40%) patients with FHID presented a lesion on the superior vestibule, three (20%) patients presented the lesion on the lower vestibule, and one (6.67%) presented the lesion on the floor of the mouth. Two (13.33%) patients with FFH presented lesions on the buccal mucosa, two (13.33%) on the lower lip, and one (6.67%) on the upper lip. Twelve (80%) patients needed infiltrative anesthesia, while three (20%) needed only topical anesthesia to remove the lesions. All lesions were removed without the need for complementary sutures to control the bleeding during and after diode LASER surgery. No edema was reported by eleven (74.34%) patients, while four (26.66%) reported edema in the postoperative period. The patients classified the intensity of pain on the first postoperative day as no pain in 53.33%, mild in 27.67%, moderate in 13.33% and severe in 6.67%. On day 7, the patients classified the edema as no pain in 66.67%, mild in 26.66%, moderate in 6.66%; no patients reported unbearable pain. Regarding analgesic medication in the postoperative period, twelve (73.33%) patients reported no need for use, while three (26.67%) took an analgesic due to moderate or severe pain. Considering functional alterations during the chewing reported by the patients on the first postoperative day, no discomfort was reported in 66.66% of the cases, mild discomfort in 13.34%, moderate in 13.34%, and severe in 6.65%. On day 7, no discomfort was reported in 60% of the patients, mild discomfort in 26.66%, severe in 13.33%, and moderate in 6.66%. Considering functional alterations during speech reported by the patients on the first postoperative day, no discomfort was reported in 66.66% of the cases, mild discomfort in 13.34%, moderate in 13.34%, and severe in 6.65%. On day 7, no discomfort was reported in 60% of the patients, mild discomfort in 26.66%, severe in 13.33%, and moderate in 6.66%. Considering functional alterations during speech reported by the patients on the first postoperative day, no discomfort was reported in 66.66% of the cases, mild discomfort in 13.34%, moderate in 13.34%, and severe in 6.65%. On day 7, no discomfort was reported in 60% of the patients, mild discomfort in 26.66%, severe in 13.33%, and moderate in 6.66%. Considering functional alterations during speech reported by the patients on the first postoperative day, no discomfort was reported in 66.66% of the cases, mild discomfort in 13.34%, moderate in 13.34%, and severe in 6.65%. On day 7, no discomfort was reported in 60% of the patients, mild discomfort in 26.66%, severe in 13.33%, and moderate in 6.66%. Considering functional alterations during speech reported by the patients on the first postoperative day, no discomfort was reported in 66.66% of the cases, mild discomfort in 13.34%, moderate in 13.34%, and severe in 6.65%. On day 7, no discomfort was reported in 60% of the patients, mild discomfort in 26.66%, severe in 13.33%, and moderate in 6.66%.

Results

The clinical profiles of patients and data concerning FH lesions treated with diode LASER surgery are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient age (years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>FH treatment</th>
<th>FH type</th>
<th>Lesion size (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12-76</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Lasers</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-76</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lasers</td>
<td>FFH</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Distribution of FH patients by age, gender, and FH type.

The study sample consisted of 12 females (80%) and 3 males (20%). Ten (66.67%) patients presented a clinical diagnosis of FH induced by denture (FHID), while five (33.33%) presented a diagnosis of focal FH (FFH). The size of the lesions ranged from 5 to 90 mm (Mean: 33 mm). Six (40%) patients with FHID presented a lesion on the superior vestibule, three (20%) patients presented the lesion on the lower vestibule, and one (6.67%) presented the lesion on the floor of the mouth. Two (13.33%) patients with FFH presented lesions on the buccal mucosa, two (13.33%) on the lower lip, and one (6.67%) on the upper lip. Twelve (80%) patients needed infiltrative anesthesia, while three (20%) needed only topical anesthesia to remove the lesions. All lesions were removed without the need for complementary sutures to control the bleeding during and after diode LASER surgery. No edema was reported by eleven (74.34%) patients, while four (26.66%) reported edema in the postoperative period. The patients classified the intensity of pain on the first postoperative day as no pain in 53.33%, mild in 27.67%, moderate in 13.33% and severe in 6.67%. On day 7, the patients classified the edema as no pain in 66.67%, mild in 26.66%, moderate in 6.66%; no patients reported unbearable pain. Regarding analgesic medication in the postoperative period, twelve (73.33%) patients reported no need for use, while three (26.67%) took an analgesic due to moderate or severe pain. Considering functional alterations during the chewing reported by the patients on the first postoperative day, no discomfort was reported in 66.66% of the cases, mild discomfort in 13.34%, moderate in 13.34%, and severe in 6.65%. On day 7, no discomfort was reported in 60% of the patients, mild discomfort in 26.66%, severe in 13.33%, and moderate in 6.66%. Considering functional alterations during speech reported by the patients on the first postoperative day, no discomfort was reported in 66.66% of the cases, mild discomfort in 13.34%, moderate in 13.34%, and severe in 6.65%. On day 7, no discomfort was reported in 60% of the patients, mild discomfort in 26.66%, severe in 13.33%, and moderate in 6.66%. Considering functional alterations during speech reported by the patients on the first postoperative day, no discomfort was reported in 66.66% of the cases, mild discomfort in 13.34%, moderate in 13.34%, and severe in 6.65%. On day 7, no discomfort was reported in 60% of the patients, mild discomfort in 26.66%, severe in 13.33%, and moderate in 6.66%.

Discussion

The current study aimed to verify the performance of diode LASER surgery on the treatment of FH. The main observations...
Figure 1. Clinical aspects of fibrous hyperplasia after having undergone diode LASER surgery. A – Fibrous hyperplasia induced by dentures with a mucosa-like color and a size of 60 mm in high diameter. B – Fibrous hyperplasia was removed with an optical fiber of 600 µm, at a power of 3.0W, in a continuous-wave mode. C – 60 mm surgical specimen was sent for histopathological analysis. D – Immediate post-surgical aspect of the wound treated with diode LASER surgery. Excellent hemostasis could be observed. E – Postoperative aspect of the wound after 15 days. Accelerated wound healing process could be observed. F – Complete healing of the wound could be observed after 28 days. No vestibule decreases after clinical healing could be observed. Minimal mucosa scar was present.

Table 1. Clinical profile of the patients and data of lesions treated with diode LASER surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Lesion</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Anesthesia</th>
<th>Edema</th>
<th>Pain Day 1/Day 7</th>
<th>Analgesic use</th>
<th>Chewing Day 1/Day 7</th>
<th>Speech Day 1/Day 7</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Healing time (weeks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Superior vestibule</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>FFH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Buccal mucosa</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FFH</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Superior vestibule</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Lower vestibule</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9/7</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>lower lip</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Floor of the mouth</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>FFH</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Superior lip</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Superior vestibule</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Superior vestibule</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9/2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Superior vestibule</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>4/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Lower lip</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Lower vestibule</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Lower vestibule</td>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6/3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>FHID</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Superior vestibule</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total   | Mean: | 66.66% F | Mean: | 56.1 | 80% F | 20% M | FHID | 40% | Superior vestibule | Infiltrative | Yes | 0/6 | No | 0/6 | 3/0 | No | 4 |
|         | Range: | 12-76 years | 33.33% | 40% | 20% | 20% | Lower vestibule | Infiltrative | Yes | 0/0 | No | 0/0 | 3/0 | No | 4 |

F – Female; M – Male; FHID – Fibrous Hyperplasia Induced by Denture; FFH – Focal Fibrous Hyperplasia; 0: No; 1-3: Mild; 4-6: Moderate; 7-9: Severe; 10: Unbearable

F – Female; M – Male; FHID – Fibrous Hyperplasia Induced by Denture; FFH – Focal Fibrous Hyperplasia; 0: No; 1-3: Mild; 4-6: Moderate; 7-9: Severe; 10: Unbearable
Included: 1) diode LASER surgery proved to be effective on the treatment of FH, 2) diode LASER surgery shows low postoperative pain and no complications, 3) diode LASER surgery shows low discomfort during chewing and speech in the postoperative period, and 4) there was minimal thermal damage in the treated specimens.

LASER surgery treatment has been used as an adjuvant or substitute to conventional therapies due to several advantages, including cutting, ablation or vaporization, hemostasis, bacterial reduction, and surgical procedures without infiltrative anesthesia.10,17,18 Diode LASER is considered a good cutting device for oral tissues.10 However, more tissue damage occurs than with the use of a scalpel,19 but this damage is not prejudicial to the specimen tissue, especially when the specimens contained a diameter of at least 5 mm.11 In the current study, the tissue damage was minimal, and only one lesion was measured at 5 mm in diameter. In addition, the LASER characteristics and settings, such as power output, wavelength, emission modalities, type of optical fiber, and affinity with target tissues can control the width and severity of the thermal damage caused to the tissue.11 By contrast, diode LASER surgery provides a thermal damage zone of less than 1 mm, which allows for surgical precision and excellent hemostasis within a dry operative field.20,21 Hemostasis caused by LASER surgery is due to the increase in platelet activation at the end point of the wound, which leads to the sealing of the blood vessels.22

Rapid wound healing with diode LASER surgery has been described in prior literature.17 This benefit is related to the photobiomodulation phenomenon, which works at cellular levels by promoting faster healing with a toxin reduction through the acceleration of lymphatic flow, thereby helping to reduce pain, enhance repair, and induce regeneration.13,23 The current results demonstrated that each of the 15 cases of FH treated with diode LASER surgery with specific parameters presented a clinical healing without complications in an average time of 3.5 weeks.

Considering surgical procedures without infiltrative anesthesia, Fornaini et al.24 reported the surgical management of oral tissues with only topical anesthesia using diode and Nd:YAG LASER systems. However, Aras et al.18 demonstrated that, when compared with diode LASER, a lower quantity of infiltrative anesthesia was required when using Er:YAG LASER. In the present study, twelve (80%) patients needed infiltrative anesthesia, while three (20%) needed only topical anesthesia to remove the lesions.

Management of oral tissues causes post-operative pain and functional discomfort during chewing and speech.18 Benefits of LASER surgery systems, as compared to the use of scalpels, in decreasing post-operative pain and functional complications have been demonstrated in randomized clinical trials reported in prior literature.25 These benefits may be explained due to the protein coagulum that is formed on the wound surface, thereby acting as a biological dressing, sealing the end of sensory nerves, as well producing photobiomodulation.13 Moreover, less edemas have been reported when using LASER surgery systems, which is related to the sealing of the lymphatic vessels.10,24 In this prospective case series study, the post-operative follow-up was uneventful with no edema in 74.34% of the patients. The majority of patients (73.33%) reported no need to take analgesic medication in the postoperative period. Additionally, the majority of patients reported no or mild pain on postoperative day 1 (80%) and day 7 (93.33%). Considering functional alterations during chewing, the majority of patients reported no or mild discomfort on postoperative day 1 (80%) and day 7 (86.66%). Regarding functional alterations during speech, the majority of patients reported no or mild discomfort on postoperative day 1 (80%) and day 7 (86.67%).

Despite of this present study seemed to have good internal validity with satisfactory management of FH using high-power diode LASER, the external validity of this findings is poor with this study design due to lack of control group and patients differences.

Conclusions
Diode LASER surgery proved to be effective and presented a satisfactory performance in the treatment of FH. The use of diode LASER surgery allowed for suitable and accurate incisions without requiring sutures, thus decreasing surgery time and maintaining the vestibule fundus in patients with FHID. However,
the present study design has limitations to analyze and compare data with other treatment modalities, therefore, randomized clinical trials may be performed to compare diode LASER and other LASER systems with conventional surgery and electrosurgery in the management of FH and other oral lesions.
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